



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/547,540	04/12/2000	William Allocca	23984-13942	5837
758 7590 01/05/2010 FENWICK & WEST LLP SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041				
EXAMINER				
GARG, YOGESH C				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3625				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/05/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/547,540	Applicant(s) ALLOCCA ET AL.		
	Examiner Yogesh C. Garg	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 3625</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 3625	
Art Unit 3625				

All Participants:

(1) Yogesh C. Garg.

(2) Rajiv Patel.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yogesh C Garg/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: It was discussed to file a Supplemental Amended by amending independent claims 1, 9, 67, 68 and canceling claims 10, 13-21, 23-27, 29, 31-33, 35-38, 40-41, 50-53, 55-61, 63-65, and 129. It was also discussed and agreed to include the following limitation in claim 1 " in response to receiving a first indication of selection of the element for enabling display of order fulfillment instructions for ordering the identified item, providing for display (i) a plurality of order fulfillment options that are enabled and predefined for a user of the client computer, each order fulfillment option comprising a unique combination of a delivery address, shipping instructions, and a payment source and (ii) a non-enabled fulfillment option that is displayed in a manner that indicates the fulfillment option is not enabled" and to amend the claims 9, 67-68 also accordingly.